From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING SUBMISSION OR TRANSMITTAL OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Section 411)

To:

REINHOLD COHN AND PARTNERS P.O. Box 4060 61040 Tel Aviv ISRAËL

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 09 January 2005 (09.01.2005)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 154854.4 DAB	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT/IL04/000771	International filing date (day/month/year) 24 August 2004 (24.08.2004)
International publication date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25 August 2003 (25.08.2003)
Applicant INDUSTRIAL TEC	CHNO-LOGIC SOLUTIONS LTD. et al

- 1. By means of this Form, which replaces any previously issued notification concerning submission or transmittal of priority documents, the applicant is hereby notified of the date of receipt by the International Bureau of the priority document(s) relating to all earlier application(s) whose priority is claimed. Unless otherwise indicated by the letters "NR", in the right-hand column or by an asterisk appearing next to a date of receipt, the priority document concerned was submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b).
- 2. (If applicable) The letters "NR" appearing in the right-hand column denote a priority document which, on the date of mailing of this Form, had not yet been received by the International Bureau under Rule 17.1(a) or (b). Where, under Rule 17.1(a), the priority document must be submitted by the applicant to the receiving Office or the International Bureau, but the applicant fails to submit the priority document within the applicable time limit under that Rule, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.
- 3. (If applicable) An asterisk (*) appearing next to a date of receipt, in the right-hand column, denotes a priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau but not in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b) (the priority document was received after the time limit prescribed in Rule 17.1(a) or the request to prepare and transmit the priority document was submitted to the receiving Office after the applicable time limit under Rule 17.1(b)). Even though the priority document was not furnished in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b), the International Bureau will nevertheless transmit a copy of the document to the designated Offices, for their consideration. In case such a copy is not accepted by the designated Office as the priority document, Rule 17.1(c) provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.

Priority_date	Priority application No.	Country or regional Office or PCT receiving Office	Date of receipt of priority document
25 August 2003 (25.08.2003)	60/497,311	US	05 January 2005 (05.01.2005)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Olaiz Alicia
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Facsimile No. +41 22 338 71 30 Telephone No. +41 22 338 9288

Form PCT/IB/304 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From NTER	the RNATIONAL SEAF	RCHING AUTHO	DRITY ·		REC'D 26 JAN 2005
To:					Por
	see form I	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATIO	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
·				Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	ee form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
	cant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22		-	FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 belo	
	national application I FNL2004/000771		International filing date (04.11.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/monthlyear) 07.11.2003
	national Patent Class L37/092, F16L2		both national classification	and IPC	
Appli BR/	icant AAMS, Gustaaf (Christiaan Erik			
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the follows	lowing items:	
,	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion		
	🛛 Box No. II	Priority			
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establish	nent of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o			
	⊠ Box No. V	applicability; ci	tations and explanation	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such sta	o novelty, inventive step or industrial atement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	= ''		
ı	⊠ Box No. VII	,	s in the international ap		
	☑ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internatio	nai application	
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION			
	written opinion of the applicant che	of the Internation coses an Author reau under Rule	al Preliminary Examinir itv other than this one t	ig Authority ("IPEA"). o be the IPEA and the	ill usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where e chosen IPEA has notifed the ational Searching Authority
	submit to the IPI	EA a written repl date of mailing	v together, where appro	opriate, with amendm	PEA, the applicant is invited to lents, before the expiration of three n of 22 months from the priority date,
	For further option	ns, see Form Po	CT/ISA/220.		
3.	For further detai	ls, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.		
L					

Name and malling address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Budtz-Olsen, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3566



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000771

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in Iguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With reneces	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forn	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	h C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additi	onal comments:

International application No. PCTALL2004/000771

WRÎTTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	Box No. VII Certain defects	in the international	application		
					<u> </u>
	•			•	
			• •		
	see separate sheet			,	
	Citations and explanations				
			- 14		
1	(พ.) สามเดอาเสสา เซเารเกาแ	Mo: Claims	07.1		
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-26		
		No: Claims	56		
	(SI) qete evitnevnl	Yes: Claims	1-25		• -
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		No: Claims			•
İ	Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-26		
	momonio			~	
;	Statement	,			
			•		• - 1
! :	Additional observations, if neces	essay:			:
	nevertheless been establish	yeq ou tye seenwbuc	on that the rele	oing bemislo ent at east trave	nty date.
_	ASI and tailable to the ISI	A at the time that the	Sesich was co	yo sidT .(f.71 əluA) bətənbro	esd noini
]	ot eldissog need ton sait I	consider the validity	Of the priority o	slaim because a copy of the I	muoob viiroiro
	filing date indicated above i	is considered to be th	ie relevant dai	•	e '
	uA) bilsvni bruot need sad	(f. 43 bns f. sid £4 səli	ent tot sudT .	purposes of this opinion, the	International
	stag need and noining sidT	inoing on it as behailde	ty had been cl	aimed due to the fact that the	priority claim
]			ni niat me reic	vant date is the cialmed prio	ווא חמום:
)	nevertheless been establist				
)				of the priority claim. This opin	
]	Consequently it has not been	en possible to consid	er the validity		ion has
נ	translation of the es	arlier application who:	se priority has	of the priority claim. This opin	((d)7.88 bng ssr noi
	translation of the es	application whose pric arlier application who: en possible to consid	ority has been se priority has er the validity	been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 i	((d)7.88 bng ssr noi
_	☐ copy of the earlier a translation of the es	application whose pric arlier application who: en possible to consid	ority has been se priority has er the validity	been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 i	.((d)7.88 bng

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000771

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following documents are referred to in this communication:
D1: US 4 205 424 A (NAGAO SHOZO ET AL) 3 June 1980 (1980-06-03)

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 26

- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 26 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.2 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 26, and discloses (see figures 1 and 4):

A system comprising two tubes (1,2) and a clamping ring (3) for attaching one tube (1) coaxially around the other rigid tube (2) by means of clamping, which clamping ring (3) can assume at least a first and a second position, the clamping ring (3) being provided with at least one substantially ring shaped part virtually closed in itself, wherein the clamping ring (3) is further provided with a segment (9) movable relative to the ring-shaped part, connected to ends of the ring-shaped part, while in the first position, the clamping ring (3) substantially has the shape of a ring and in the second position, the segment (9) is located inwards relative to the ring-shaped part such that each diameter of the clamping ring (3) in the second position is smaller than each diameter of the clamping ring (3) in the first position.

2.3 The only difference between the subject-matter of claim 26 and the system known from D1 is that the outer tube of the application is flexible whereas the outer tube of D1 is rigid. This feature is however irrelevant to the functioning of clamping sleeve.

Consequently, the solution proposed in claim 26 therefore cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

- 3. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 AND 25
- 3.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a flexible clamping ring used in the connection of two cylindrical parts.

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that:

The clamping ring has at least a local increases in its flexibility relative to the flexibility of the rest of the ring, and therefore the segment can move between a first and a second state.

- 3.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)
- 3.3. The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as:

The possibility to define the place of the moveable segment.

3.4 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

The clamping ring of D1 is made of a material with constant flexibility, and therefore the place of the movable segment can not be defined.

- 3.5 The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT)
- 3.6 The subject-matter of claim 25 is also novel and inventive for the same reasoning mutatis mutandis as for claim 1.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/NL2004/000771

- 4. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2 24
- 4.1 Claims 2-24 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 1. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 2. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.
- 3. Based on D1 the two-part form of claim 1 is not correct. Only the "movability of the segment" (lines 11-14) is not disclosed.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

1. For reasons of clarity the word "position" used in the description and claims should be substituted with "state".